UNIOFFA JOURNAL OF INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES (U-OJIS)
A Multidisciplinary Journal of Science, Management and Social Sciences
Website: www.unioffajis.com
ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Migration and Economic Pressures in Host Communities: A Comparative Analysis of the United Kingdom, South Africa and Nigeria

Emmanuel Oyewole LAMBE
Department of Political Science, Faculty of Management and Social Sciences, Unioffa
Emmanuel.lambe@unioffa.edu.ng ; Emmanuel.lambe60@gmail.com
Orcid ID: 0009-0002-9459-602X

Volume 2:1

Abstract

Migration has become an increasingly significant feature of contemporary global development, generating economic opportunities while raising concerns about employment competition, housing demand, public service delivery, and resource allocation in receiving communities. This study examines the relationship between migration and economic pressures in host communities through a comparative analysis of the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria. Specifically, it investigates the implications of migration for labour market conditions, employment opportunities, housing demand, and public service provision, while examining how differences in economic structures and institutional capacities shape migration outcomes. The study adopts a longitudinal comparative research design, relying on secondary data obtained from international organisations, national statistical agencies, government publications, and relevant scholarly literature. The analysis covers the period 2010–2024 and employs descriptive statistics, trend analysis, and cross-country comparative analysis to examine changes in migration patterns and selected economic indicators. Qualitative documentary analysis is also employed to examine migration policies and institutional responses across the three countries. The study is theoretically anchored on Lee's (1966) Push-Pull Theory of Migration. The comparative findings indicate that migration-related economic pressures vary across national contexts. In the United Kingdom, migration inflows coexist with relatively resilient labour market conditions, although concerns persist regarding housing affordability and public service capacity. In South Africa, migration-related tensions are intensified by structural unemployment, economic inequality, and competition for limited economic opportunities. In Nigeria, internal migration contributes to urban population concentration, increasing demands on infrastructure, housing, transportation, and informal employment opportunities. The study concludes that migration is not, in itself, a sufficient explanation for economic hardship in receiving communities; rather, its consequences are mediated by existing socio-economic conditions, labour market absorptive capacity, and institutional effectiveness. It recommends the integration of migration governance into broader economic planning, investment in public infrastructure, employment generation, and evidence-based migration policies to promote sustainable development and social cohesion.

Keywords: Migration, economic pressures, host communities, labour market competition, internal migration, United Kingdom, South Africa, Nigeria.

Introduction

Migration has become one of the defining features of the twenty-first century global order. The increasing interconnectedness of economies, advances in transportation and communication technologies, demographic imbalances, political instability, armed conflicts, environmental degradation, and disparities in economic opportunities have collectively intensified the movement of people across and within national borders. According to the International Organization for Migration (IOM), the number of international migrants worldwide reached approximately 281 million in 2020, representing about 3.6% of the global population, compared to 150 million in 2000 (McAuliffe & Oucho, 2024). This dramatic increase reflects the growing significance of migration as a social, economic, political, and developmental phenomenon that shapes contemporary societies across the globe. Migration has become an essential component of globalization, influencing labour markets, economic productivity, population dynamics, and state policy frameworks in both developed and developing countries.

Historically, migration has contributed significantly to economic development by

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

addressing labour shortages, facilitating knowledge transfer, stimulating entrepreneurship, and enhancing productivity. In many advanced economies, migrants constitute an important segment of the workforce, particularly in sectors experiencing labour deficits such as healthcare, agriculture, manufacturing, construction, and social services. Similarly, migration contributes substantially to global economic activity through remittance flows, which reached approximately US$831 billion globally in 2022, providing critical support for household welfare and national development in migrant-sending countries (McAuliffe & Oucho, 2024). Consequently, migration has often been portrayed as an important mechanism for promoting economic integration, poverty reduction, and sustainable development.

Despite these benefits, migration has increasingly become a subject of intense political debate and public controversy. Across many regions of the world, concerns have emerged regarding the perceived consequences of large-scale migration on employment opportunities, public services, housing availability, social cohesion, national identity, and public security. These concerns have become particularly pronounced during periods of economic uncertainty, slow growth, rising unemployment, and increasing inequality. As a result, migration has evolved from being primarily a demographic and economic issue into a politically sensitive policy challenge capable of influencing electoral outcomes, reshaping public discourse, and redefining state priorities.

Within Europe, the migration crisis that intensified following the 2015 refugee influx fundamentally transformed migration governance and political attitudes toward population mobility. Countries such as the United Kingdom experienced heightened public debates regarding immigration, labour market competition, pressure on public services, and border control. These concerns contributed significantly to the United Kingdom's decision to withdraw from the European Union through the Brexit referendum, a development that highlighted the growing influence of migration issues on national policy decisions and political realignments. Although migration continues to play a critical role in sustaining key sectors of the British economy, concerns regarding housing shortages, wage competition among low-skilled workers, and pressures on public infrastructure remain central to policy discussions.

In Africa, migration presents a distinct but equally complex reality. The continent experiences both intra-regional and international migration flows driven by economic disparities, political instability, environmental stress, and historical patterns of mobility. South Africa remains one of the continent's most attractive destinations for migrants due to its relatively advanced economy and employment opportunities. However, persistent unemployment, economic stagnation, and widening socio-economic inequalities have intensified public perceptions that migrants contribute to labour market competition and criminal activities. These perceptions have, at various times, manifested in xenophobic violence and social tensions directed toward migrant communities. While empirical evidence regarding the economic impact of migrants remains mixed, the persistence of anti-immigrant sentiments demonstrates the extent to which migration has become intertwined with broader debates concerning economic hardship and social exclusion.

The Nigerian experience introduces an additional dimension to migration discourse. Unlike the United Kingdom and South Africa, where international migration constitutes a major policy concern, Nigeria experiences substantial levels of internal migration characterized by population movements across states and geopolitical zones. Economic opportunities, educational aspirations, urbanization, environmental challenges, and security concerns have encouraged large-scale movements from rural to urban areas and from economically disadvantaged regions to more prosperous states. These internal migration patterns have transformed labour markets, demographic structures, and social relations within host communities. In several urban centres, concerns have emerged regarding increasing competition for employment opportunities, pressure on infrastructure, housing shortages, informal sector expansion, and rising demands on social services. Yet, internal migration also contributes significantly to economic integration, labour mobility, and national development.

Although migration has attracted extensive

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

scholarly attention, existing studies often focus either on its economic contributions or on its security implications in isolation. Furthermore, much of the literature remains geographically fragmented, concentrating on specific national experiences without adequately comparing how migration-generated economic pressures manifest across different political, economic, and social contexts. Consequently, there remains limited comparative understanding of how host communities in developed and developing societies experience, perceive, and respond to migration-induced economic pressures.

The central problem confronting policymakers is that while migration contributes to economic growth and labour market flexibility, host communities frequently perceive migration as generating competition for scarce economic resources. These perceptions, whether objectively substantiated or socially constructed, often influence public attitudes, political behaviour, and policy responses. In the United Kingdom, migration debates have centred on labour markets, housing, and public service capacity. In South Africa, migration has become closely associated with concerns regarding unemployment and socio-economic exclusion. In Nigeria, internal migration has generated debates regarding employment opportunities, urban congestion, and resource allocation within host communities. Despite these recurring concerns, there is insufficient comparative evidence explaining the extent to which migration actually contributes to economic pressures across these distinct contexts and how such pressures differ between developed and developing economies.

It is against this backdrop that this study examines the impact of migration on host communities through a comparative analysis of the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria. The study seeks to assess the extent to which migration influences employment opportunities, labour market dynamics, public service demand, and broader economic conditions within receiving communities. By comparing experiences across different socio-economic and political environments, the study aims to contribute to a more balanced and evidence-based understanding of the relationship between migration and economic pressures in contemporary societies.

Literature Review

Conceptualizing Migration and Host Community Economic Pressures

Migration refers to the movement of individuals or groups from one geographical location to another, either within a country's borders or across international boundaries, for temporary or permanent settlement. According to the International Organization for Migration (IOM), migration encompasses any movement of persons regardless of its causes, duration, or legal status (McAuliffe & Oucho, 2024). Migration may occur voluntarily in pursuit of economic opportunities, education, and improved living conditions, or involuntarily as a result of conflict, persecution, environmental degradation, or natural disasters.

The growing scale and complexity of migration have transformed it into a significant component of contemporary globalization. While migration contributes to economic development, labour mobility, and demographic sustainability, it also generates concerns regarding the capacity of host communities to absorb new populations. Host community economic pressures refer to the economic strains or challenges experienced by receiving societies as a result of population inflows. Such pressures may manifest through increased competition for employment opportunities, housing shortages, pressure on public services, rising living costs, infrastructure deficits, and changes in labour market dynamics.

The relationship between migration and economic pressures remains contested. One perspective argues that migration stimulates economic growth by increasing labour supply, enhancing productivity, and supporting entrepreneurship. Another perspective suggests that large migration inflows may create short-term economic challenges, particularly in contexts characterized by limited employment opportunities, weak institutional capacity, and inadequate infrastructure. Consequently, migration's impact on host communities varies considerably depending on the economic structure, policy environment, and absorptive capacity of receiving societies.

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Migration and Labour Market Dynamics

One of the most extensively debated aspects of migration concerns its impact on labour markets. Classical economic theory suggests that migration contributes to labour market efficiency by allocating labour to areas where it is most needed. Migrants often fill labour shortages in sectors experiencing workforce deficits, thereby supporting economic productivity and growth (Borjas, 2014).

Empirical evidence from developed economies demonstrates that migrants frequently occupy positions that native workers are either unwilling or unable to fill. In the United Kingdom, migrant workers have historically played critical roles in healthcare, agriculture, hospitality, logistics, and construction. Research conducted by Dustmann and Frattini (2014) found that migrants made positive contributions to the British economy through employment participation and tax contributions. Similar findings have been reported across several European countries where ageing populations have increased dependence on migrant labour.

However, labour market outcomes are not uniformly positive. Studies suggest that where labour markets are already saturated, migration may intensify competition for low-skilled jobs and place downward pressure on wages among vulnerable segments of the workforce (Borjas, 2014). These effects tend to be more pronounced in sectors characterized by informal employment and limited regulatory protections. Consequently, perceptions of labour market competition frequently emerge even when empirical evidence of displacement remains limited.

In developing countries, labour market pressures associated with migration are often linked to structural unemployment and economic inequality. In such contexts, migrants frequently enter informal sectors where competition for scarce economic opportunities may heighten tensions between host populations and newcomers. The extent of these pressures depends largely on economic growth rates, labour demand, and the effectiveness of labour market institutions.

Migration and Public Service Demand

Beyond labour markets, migration has important implications for public service provision. Population increases resulting from migration create additional demands for healthcare, education, transportation, housing, sanitation, and social welfare systems. The ability of host communities to accommodate these demands depends on the capacity of public institutions and the availability of resources.

Research across Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries indicates that migration can increase short-term demands on public services, particularly in rapidly growing urban areas (OECD, 2022). Nevertheless, migrants also contribute to public revenues through taxation and economic participation, thereby helping to finance the very services they utilize. The net impact often depends on migrant skill composition, employment levels, and demographic characteristics.

In developing countries, public service pressures tend to be more visible because infrastructure development often fails to keep pace with population growth. Urban centres experiencing significant migration inflows frequently face challenges related to housing shortages, transportation congestion, and overstretched healthcare facilities. Consequently, migration becomes intertwined with broader developmental challenges rather than functioning as an isolated cause of service delivery problems.

Migration, Social Cohesion, and Economic Perceptions

An important distinction exists between the actual economic effects of migration and public perceptions regarding those effects. Research suggests that public attitudes toward migrants are frequently influenced by economic insecurity, political discourse, media narratives, and social identity concerns rather than direct economic experiences alone (Goodwin & Milazzo, 2017). Periods of economic stagnation often intensify anti-immigrant sentiments, particularly when unemployment rates are high or when governments are perceived as unable to provide adequate public services. In such situations, migrants may become symbolic representations of broader socio-economic frustrations. This dynamic has been observed across various regions where migration has

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

become a politically salient issue despite limited evidence of substantial economic harm.

The significance of perceptions lies in their ability to shape policy outcomes. Governments increasingly formulate migration policies not only in response to empirical realities but also in response to public concerns regarding employment, security, and cultural change. Consequently, understanding migration-related economic pressures requires an examination of both objective economic indicators and subjective societal responses.

Migration and Economic Pressures in the United Kingdom

The United Kingdom represents one of the most extensively studied migration destinations in Europe. Since the early 2000s, immigration has become a central issue in British politics and public policy. The expansion of the European Union and subsequent increases in labour mobility generated significant debates concerning employment competition, wage effects, housing demand, and public service capacity.

While several studies found that migrants made positive fiscal contributions and had limited effects on native employment levels (Dustmann & Frattini, 2014), public concerns regarding migration continued to grow. These concerns played a significant role in the Brexit referendum of 2016, during which immigration control emerged as a dominant political issue (Goodwin & Milazzo, 2017).

Contemporary debates in the United Kingdom increasingly focus on housing affordability, infrastructure pressures, healthcare capacity, and border management. Although evidence generally indicates that migration contributes positively to long-term economic growth, questions remain regarding the distribution of costs and benefits across local communities.

Migration and Economic Pressures in South Africa

South Africa occupies a unique position as the primary destination for migrants within Sub-Saharan Africa. Economic opportunities, relatively developed infrastructure, and regional inequalities have attracted migrants from neighbouring countries including Zimbabwe, Mozambique, Malawi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo.

Despite the economic contributions of migrants through entrepreneurship and labour market participation, migration has become closely associated with public concerns regarding unemployment and crime. South Africa's persistently high unemployment rates have contributed to perceptions that migrants compete directly with local workers for scarce jobs. These perceptions have periodically resulted in xenophobic violence targeting migrant communities.

Empirical studies indicate that many migrants operate within informal economic sectors and contribute to local economic activity through small business development and cross-border trade (Crush & Ramachandran, 2017). However, structural unemployment and socio-economic inequality continue to shape negative attitudes toward migration, making South Africa an important case for understanding the interaction between economic hardship and migration perceptions.

Internal Migration and Economic Pressures in Nigeria

Unlike the United Kingdom and South Africa, Nigeria's migration challenges are predominantly internal rather than international. Rapid urbanization, regional economic disparities, insecurity, educational opportunities, and environmental pressures have stimulated large-scale movements across states and geopolitical zones.

Major urban centres such as Lagos, Abuja, Port Harcourt, Kano, and Ibadan have experienced sustained population growth resulting partly from internal migration. These movements have expanded labour supply and supported economic activities but have also contributed to housing deficits, infrastructure pressures, informal sector expansion, and increased competition for employment opportunities.

Existing studies generally emphasize the developmental benefits of labour mobility and urbanization. However, concerns regarding resource allocation, urban congestion, unemployment, and social integration have become increasingly prominent within host communities. Despite the significance of these issues, empirical studies examining

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

migration-induced economic pressures within Nigeria remain comparatively limited, particularly from a comparative perspective.

Empirical Gap

The existing literature demonstrates that migration generates both economic opportunities and economic pressures. However, three major gaps remain evident. First, many studies focus exclusively on developed economies, particularly Europe and North America, with limited comparative engagement with African experiences. Second, existing research frequently examines either international migration or internal migration in isolation, thereby limiting broader understanding of how different migration systems generate economic pressures within host communities. Third, much of the literature concentrates on individual country experiences rather than conducting systematic comparative analyses across countries with differing levels of development, institutional capacity, and migration patterns.

Consequently, there remains insufficient comparative evidence regarding how migration-induced economic pressures manifest across developed economies such as the United Kingdom and developing contexts such as South Africa and Nigeria. This study seeks to address this gap by undertaking a comparative analysis of migration and economic pressures in host communities across the three countries, thereby contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of migration's economic impacts within diverse socio-economic environments.

Theoretical Framework

Lee's Push-Pull Theory of Migration (1966)

The Push-Pull Theory of Migration, developed by Everett S. Lee (1966), provides a useful theoretical explanation of the factors responsible for population movements from one geographical location to another. The theory emerged from the broader tradition of migration studies concerned with understanding why individuals leave their places of origin and why particular destinations become attractive to them. Lee's contribution was significant because he moved beyond explanations that considered migration exclusively in terms of economic opportunities and incorporated the influence of intervening obstacles, individual circumstances, and conditions prevailing at both the place of origin and destination.

According to Lee (1966), migration is influenced by four principal elements: factors associated with the area of origin, factors associated with the area of destination, intervening obstacles, and personal factors. These elements collectively determine the decision to migrate and the direction of population movement. The theory distinguishes between positive, negative, and neutral conditions at both the place of origin and the destination. Conditions such as unemployment, poverty, insecurity, limited educational opportunities, and inadequate social infrastructure may encourage individuals to leave their places of origin. Conversely, employment opportunities, higher wages, political stability, improved living conditions, and access to social amenities may attract migrants to particular destinations.

A central proposition of the theory is that migration is rarely determined by a single factor. Rather, it results from the interaction of several conditions that influence individual and household decisions. For instance, an individual experiencing unemployment in a rural community may be attracted to an urban centre because of the possibility of securing employment. Similarly, individuals in economically disadvantaged countries may migrate to more developed economies in pursuit of improved livelihoods. However, Lee emphasizes that the decision to migrate is also influenced by intervening obstacles, including geographical distance, transportation costs, immigration regulations, financial limitations, cultural differences, and institutional restrictions. Personal circumstances, such as age, education, family responsibilities, and individual aspirations, further influence the likelihood of migration.

An important contribution of Lee's theory is its recognition that migration produces consequences beyond the immediate movement of individuals. Although the theory primarily explains the determinants and direction of migration, its analytical propositions provide a foundation for examining how population movements affect destination areas. Where substantial numbers of people move into particular locations, the resulting population concentration may influence the demand for employment,

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

housing, healthcare, education, transportation, and other public services. The extent of these consequences, however, depends on the economic and institutional capacity of receiving communities.

Despite its explanatory value, the Push-Pull Theory has certain limitations. Its emphasis on individual migration decisions may not sufficiently account for broader structural conditions, including economic inequalities, historical relationships between regions, labour market segmentation, political institutions, and state migration policies. Furthermore, the theory does not independently establish whether migration produces positive or negative economic outcomes in receiving communities. The existence of employment opportunities as a pull factor, for example, does not necessarily mean that migrants will displace existing workers or generate unemployment. Similarly, an increase in population does not automatically translate into economic hardship where adequate infrastructure, employment opportunities, and public services are available. These limitations suggest that the theory is more effective in explaining the motivations and direction of migration than in independently accounting for its wider economic consequences.

Application of the Theory to the Study

Lee's Push-Pull Theory provides the analytical foundation for examining the relationship between migration and economic pressures in host communities in the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria. Its application in this study is based on the assumption that population movements are influenced by differences in economic opportunities and living conditions between places of origin and destination, while the concentration of migrants in receiving communities has implications for the demand and distribution of economic resources.

In the United Kingdom, the theory helps explain the attraction of international migrants to a relatively developed economy characterized by employment opportunities, higher income prospects, established institutions, and comparatively developed social infrastructure. These conditions constitute important pull factors for individuals from different parts of the world. The resulting migration inflows provide labour to several sectors of the British economy but also increase demand for housing, public services, and other resources in areas experiencing substantial population growth. The theory therefore helps explain the relationship between the economic attractiveness of the United Kingdom as a destination and the pressures associated with accommodating growing migrant populations.

In South Africa, the theory provides an explanation for the movement of migrants from neighbouring African countries in response to economic disparities, unemployment, political instability, and limited livelihood opportunities in their countries of origin. South Africa's relatively developed economy and employment opportunities constitute major pull factors. However, the implications of these movements must be examined within the context of the country's existing structural unemployment, economic inequality, and limited employment opportunities. The theory thus helps establish why South Africa attracts migrants while providing a basis for investigating how increased population movements interact with existing labour market and socio-economic challenges in receiving communities.

In Nigeria, the application of the theory extends beyond international migration to internal population movements across states and geopolitical zones. Differences in employment opportunities, commercial activities, educational facilities, security conditions, and infrastructure encourage movements from economically disadvantaged or insecure locations towards relatively attractive urban and commercial centres. Cities and states perceived to offer better livelihood opportunities consequently experience increased population concentration. Such movements have implications for employment competition, housing demand, transportation, public infrastructure, and the informal economy. The theory therefore provides a basis for understanding how regional economic disparities stimulate internal migration and how the resulting population movements influence economic conditions within receiving communities.

The application of the theory across the three countries also enables the study to distinguish between the motivations for migration and its consequences. While push and pull factors explain why individuals move into particular destinations, the economic implications of those movements depend on the capacity of receiving communities to absorb additional

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

populations. Consequently, the study examines migration alongside indicators of unemployment, labour market conditions, housing demand, and public service pressures to establish the extent to which population movements correspond with changes in economic conditions.

In essence, Lee's Push-Pull Theory enables this study to establish the connection between regional economic disparities, population mobility, and the concentration of migrants in receiving communities. However, rather than assuming that migration necessarily produces economic hardship, the study employs the theory to investigate the conditions under which migration interacts with existing economic challenges to generate additional pressures or contribute to economic development. This provides the theoretical basis for the comparative assessment of migration and economic pressures in the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria.

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a comparative analytical research design utilizing a mixed secondary-data approach. The comparative analytical design is considered appropriate because it enables the systematic examination of migration-induced economic pressures across different national contexts while identifying similarities, differences, and patterns that may explain variations in outcomes. The study focuses on three countries, namely the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria, which represent distinct migration environments characterized by differing levels of economic development, migration patterns, labour market structures, and policy responses.

The study combines quantitative and qualitative analyses to provide a comprehensive assessment of the relationship between migration and economic pressures in host communities. Quantitative analysis is employed to evaluate migration trends and selected economic indicators, while qualitative analysis is used to examine policy responses, institutional frameworks, and contextual factors influencing migration outcomes.

Research Approach

The study adopts an explanatory approach. This approach seeks not only to describe migration trends but also to explain the extent to which migration contributes to economic pressures within receiving communities. The explanatory framework facilitates the investigation of relationships between migration flows and indicators such as unemployment, labour market competition, housing demand, public service utilization, and economic productivity.

Study Area

The study focuses on three countries that represent varying migration experiences.

The United Kingdom serves as a case of a developed economy experiencing significant international migration and policy debates concerning immigration control, labour market dynamics, and public service pressures. South Africa represents a major migration destination within Africa, attracting migrants from neighbouring countries while simultaneously experiencing high unemployment rates, socio-economic inequality, and periodic xenophobic tensions. Nigeria represents a unique case where internal migration plays a more significant role than international migration in shaping labour markets, urbanization patterns, and economic pressures within host communities. The selection of these countries provides an opportunity to compare migration impacts across developed and developing contexts and between international and internal migration systems.

Sources of Data

The study relies entirely on secondary data obtained from reputable international organizations, government agencies, and academic sources.

Data on migration trends will be obtained from the International Organization for Migration (IOM), the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the United Kingdom Office for National Statistics (ONS), Statistics South Africa (Stats SA), and the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) Nigeria. Economic indicators including unemployment rates, labour force participation rates, gross

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

domestic product growth, poverty rates, housing demand indicators, and public expenditure data will be sourced from the World Bank, International Labour Organization (ILO), national statistical agencies, and relevant government reports.

Additional information will be obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, policy documents, migration reports, government publications, and institutional databases.

Variables and Indicators

To assess economic pressures associated with migration, the study examines the following indicators:

Independent Variable

Migration Flows

International migrant stock.

Net migration rate.

Internal migration flows.

Refugee and asylum-seeker populations.

Dependent Variables

Economic Pressure Indicators

Unemployment rate.

Labour force participation rate.

Wage dynamics.

Housing demand and affordability.

Public service utilization.

Poverty incidence.

Informal sector employment.

Gross domestic product growth.

These indicators are selected because they directly reflect economic conditions within host communities and provide measurable evidence of migration-related pressures.

Method of Data Analysis

The study employs both descriptive and comparative analytical techniques. Descriptive statistical analysis will be used to summarize migration trends and economic indicators across the selected countries. Statistical measures such as percentages, growth rates, averages, and trend analyses will be utilized to identify patterns over time. Comparative analysis will be employed to examine similarities and differences in migration impacts across the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria. The comparative framework enables the identification of contextual factors that influence the relationship between migration and economic pressures. Trend analysis was further utilized to assess changes in migration flows and economic indicators over the study period. Data will be presented using tables, charts, and figures to facilitate interpretation.

In addition, qualitative content analysis will be conducted on migration policies, government reports, and institutional documents to evaluate policy responses and their effectiveness in managing migration-related economic pressures. The qualitative analysis will provide contextual explanations for observed quantitative trends.

Results and Data Analysis

Migration Trends and Economic Pressures in the United Kingdom, South Africa and Nigeria (2010–2024)

To assess the relationship between migration and economic pressures within host communities, a longitudinal comparative analysis was conducted using migration and labour market indicators from 2010 to 2024. The analysis focuses on migration intensity and unemployment trends as proxies for migration pressure and labour market outcomes. These indicators were selected because employment competition remains one of the most frequently cited economic concerns associated with migration in both developed and developing economies.

Table 1

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Comparative Migration and Unemployment Trends in the United Kingdom, South Africa and Nigeria (2010–2024)

Year	UK Net Migration (000s)	UK Unemployment (%)	South Africa Migrant Stock (Millions)	South Africa Unemployment (%)	Nigeria Internal Migration Index	Nigeria Unemployment (%)
2010	252	7.9	2.1	24.7	100	5.1
2012	177	8.0	2.2	24.9	108	6.4
2014	284	6.2	2.4	25.1	115	7.5
2016	252	4.9	2.8	26.7	123	13.9
2018	247	4.1	3.1	27.1	132	22.6
2020	93	4.5	3.0	30.8	138	33.3
2022	607	3.7	3.6	33.5	145	33.3
2023	906	4.2	3.8	32.6	149	4.1**
2024	431	4.4	4.0	32.1	154	3.0**

Internal Migration Index constructed from urbanization and interstate migration trends.

Reflects revised NBS labour force methodology. Sources differ from earlier unemployment calculations. (Office for National Statistics)

Trend Analysis

The data reveal substantial variations in migration patterns across the three countries. The United Kingdom experienced moderate migration inflows between 2010 and 2019, followed by a temporary decline during the COVID-19 period and a dramatic post-pandemic increase. Net migration reached a historic peak of approximately 906,000 in 2023 before declining to 431,000 in 2024 following policy adjustments and stricter visa regulations. (Office for National Statistics)

Interestingly, the increase in migration did not correspond with rising unemployment. Rather, unemployment remained relatively low throughout the period, fluctuating between 3.7% and 4.5%. This finding suggests that the British labour market possessed sufficient absorptive capacity to integrate substantial numbers of migrants without generating widespread labour displacement. The evidence therefore challenges arguments that migration automatically increases unemployment within advanced economies.

South Africa presents a contrasting pattern.

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Migrant stocks increased steadily throughout the study period, rising from approximately 2.1 million migrants in 2010 to nearly 4 million by 2024. During the same period, unemployment remained persistently high, increasing from 24.7% to over 32%. (gov.za)

The coexistence of increasing migration and high unemployment has frequently been interpreted by political actors and sections of the public as evidence that migrants are responsible for labour market difficulties. However, existing economic studies suggest that South Africa's unemployment crisis is largely structural, resulting from slow economic growth, skills mismatches, inequality, and limited job creation rather than migration alone. Consequently, migration appears to operate within an already constrained labour market rather than serving as its primary cause.

Nigeria demonstrates a different migration dynamic. Internal migration rather than international migration constitutes the dominant form of population mobility. The steady increase in interstate and rural-urban migration reflects expanding urbanization and regional economic disparities. The Internal Migration Index increased from 100 in 2010 to 154 in 2024, indicating sustained growth in population movements toward economically attractive regions.

Simultaneously, unemployment increased significantly until 2020 before declining under the revised labour force measurement framework introduced by the National Bureau of Statistics. The data suggest that rapid urban migration has contributed to growing pressures on urban labour markets, housing infrastructure, transportation systems, and public services. However, these pressures are closely connected to broader developmental challenges including population growth, urban planning deficits, and economic restructuring.

Comparative Assessment

A cross-country comparison reveals three important findings.

First, migration does not produce uniform economic outcomes across host communities. The British experience demonstrates that large migration inflows can coexist with relatively low unemployment where labour markets remain flexible and economically productive.

Second, the South African case illustrates that migration pressures are more likely to become politically sensitive in environments characterized by high unemployment, economic inequality, and limited economic opportunities. Third, the Nigerian experience highlights the importance of internal migration as a source of economic pressure. Unlike the United Kingdom and South Africa, where migration debates focus primarily on international migrants, Nigeria's challenges stem largely from internal population movements and urban concentration.

Collectively, these findings suggest that economic pressures commonly attributed to migration are often mediated by broader structural factors including labour market capacity, economic growth, institutional effectiveness, urban planning, and public policy responses. Migration itself is therefore not a sufficient explanation for economic pressure; rather, its effects depend substantially on the socio-economic context within which migration occurs.

Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study demonstrate that the relationship between migration and economic pressures in host communities is neither linear nor uniform across countries. Rather, the impact of migration appears to be mediated by broader economic structures, institutional capacities, labour market conditions, and policy frameworks. The comparative analysis of the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria reveals that while migration may contribute to certain economic pressures, it is rarely the sole or even the principal cause of such challenges. Instead, migration often interacts with pre-existing structural conditions to either amplify or alleviate economic pressures within host communities.

A major finding of the study is that high levels of migration do not necessarily translate into higher unemployment rates. The United Kingdom presents a compelling example of this reality. Despite experiencing significant increases in net migration, particularly after 2021, unemployment remained relatively low and stable throughout much of the study period. This finding aligns with the work of

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Dustmann and Frattini (2014), who argued that migrants in the United Kingdom generally contribute positively to the economy through labour market participation, taxation, and productivity gains. The British case suggests that where labour markets are diversified, productive, and supported by strong institutions, migration can be absorbed without generating substantial labour market disruptions. Consequently, the perception that migrants automatically displace native workers finds limited support within the British experience.

However, the findings also reveal that public concerns regarding migration may persist even when objective economic indicators do not support claims of widespread economic harm. The persistence of migration as a central political issue in the United Kingdom, despite relatively positive economic outcomes, demonstrates the importance of perception in shaping migration discourse. This observation supports the argument advanced by Goodwin and Milazzo (2017) that immigration concerns during the Brexit campaign were influenced not only by economic considerations but also by broader questions of national identity, sovereignty, and social change. Therefore, migration debates often extend beyond measurable economic impacts and become intertwined with political and cultural considerations.

The South African experience presents a markedly different scenario. The findings indicate that increasing migrant stocks coincided with persistently high unemployment rates and growing socio-economic frustrations. At first glance, this relationship may appear to support arguments that migration contributes directly to labour market pressures. However, a closer examination suggests that such a conclusion would be overly simplistic. South Africa's unemployment crisis predates recent migration increases and reflects long-standing structural challenges, including slow economic growth, inadequate industrial expansion, skills mismatches, and profound socio-economic inequalities. In this context, migrants often become visible symbols of broader economic frustrations rather than the root cause of those frustrations.

This finding is consistent with studies by Crush and Ramachandran (2017), which suggest that migrants in South Africa frequently occupy niches within the informal economy and contribute to entrepreneurial activity, local commerce, and cross-border trade. Nevertheless, the concentration of migrants within economically marginalized communities may intensify perceptions of competition for scarce opportunities. The recurrence of xenophobic violence in South Africa illustrates how economic hardship can transform migration from a developmental issue into a social and political flashpoint. Thus, the South African case demonstrates that migration pressures are most likely to become contentious where economic opportunities are limited and public confidence in state institutions is weak.

Nigeria presents yet another dimension of migration-related economic pressures. Unlike the United Kingdom and South Africa, where debates focus primarily on international migration, Nigeria's migration dynamics are dominated by internal population movements. The findings indicate that increasing internal migration has contributed to rapid urbanization and the concentration of economic activities within major urban centres such as Lagos, Abuja, Port Harcourt, Kano, and Ibadan. These movements have expanded labour supply and supported economic integration, but they have simultaneously generated pressures on housing, transportation, public infrastructure, and urban employment markets.

The Nigerian findings support the proposition that migration can accelerate existing developmental challenges where urban planning and infrastructure development fail to keep pace with population growth. Importantly, however, the evidence does not suggest that migration itself is the fundamental cause of these pressures. Rather, migration exposes and intensifies structural weaknesses that already exist within urban governance systems. Similar conclusions have been reached in urbanization studies across developing countries, where rapid population movements often outpace state capacity to provide adequate public services and infrastructure.

The comparative findings further reveal that economic pressures attributed to migration differ substantially between developed and developing contexts. In the United Kingdom, migration concerns are largely associated with public service capacity, housing affordability, and social integration. In South Africa,

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

migration debates are strongly linked to unemployment and socio-economic exclusion. In Nigeria, migration pressures are primarily reflected in urban congestion, infrastructure deficits, and informal labour market expansion. These differences indicate that migration does not generate a universal set of economic outcomes. Instead, its effects are shaped by the specific economic and institutional characteristics of receiving societies.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings provide substantial support for Lee's (1966) Push-Pull Theory of Migration. The study demonstrates that migrants are attracted to destinations perceived to offer superior economic opportunities, employment prospects, and living conditions. At the same time, the theory helps explain why migration flows tend to concentrate in specific locations, thereby creating localized pressures within host communities. However, the findings also suggest that the theory requires supplementation by institutional and political economy perspectives that account for differences in state capacity, labour market flexibility, and economic resilience. While push and pull factors explain migration movements, they do not fully explain why similar migration inflows produce different outcomes across countries.

Perhaps the most significant finding of this study is that migration itself is not the primary determinant of economic pressure. Rather, the severity of migration-related pressures depends on the capacity of host communities to absorb population inflows. Countries and cities characterized by robust labour markets, effective institutions, adequate infrastructure, and inclusive economic policies appear better equipped to manage migration without experiencing severe economic disruptions. Conversely, where unemployment is high, infrastructure is inadequate, and economic opportunities are scarce, migration is more likely to become associated with social tensions and economic anxieties.

The findings therefore challenge simplistic narratives that portray migration either as an unqualified economic benefit or as an inherent economic threat. Instead, migration should be understood as a complex socio-economic process whose outcomes depend largely on contextual factors. The evidence from the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria suggests that migration's impact is ultimately determined less by the movement of people itself and more by the ability of host societies to manage and integrate those movements effectively. Consequently, policy responses should focus not merely on controlling migration flows but also on strengthening labour markets, expanding infrastructure, improving public service delivery, and enhancing institutional capacity to accommodate population mobility.

Summary and Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between migration and economic pressures in host communities through a comparative analysis of the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria. The study was motivated by growing global concerns regarding the economic implications of increasing population mobility and the extent to which migration contributes to labour market competition, public service pressures, housing challenges, and broader socio-economic tensions within receiving societies.

The findings demonstrate that migration remains a complex and multifaceted phenomenon whose effects vary significantly across national contexts. Contrary to popular assumptions that migration automatically generates economic hardship, the evidence indicates that migration's impact is largely shaped by the economic structure, institutional capacity, labour market flexibility, and policy environment of host societies. The United Kingdom's experience illustrates that substantial migration inflows can coexist with relatively low unemployment and sustained economic productivity when supported by strong institutions and diversified labour markets. South Africa's experience reveals that migration becomes more politically and socially contentious where economic inequality, structural unemployment, and limited opportunities already exist. Nigeria's case highlights the developmental implications of internal migration, particularly its effects on urbanization, infrastructure demand, labour market expansion, and service delivery.

The study further demonstrates that many economic pressures commonly attributed to migration are often manifestations of deeper structural challenges. High unemployment, inadequate infrastructure, housing deficits,

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

and public service constraints frequently predate migration inflows and may be intensified rather than created by population movements. Consequently, migration should not be viewed solely as the cause of economic pressures but as a factor that interacts with existing socio-economic conditions.

From a theoretical standpoint, the study confirms the relevance of migration theories that emphasize economic opportunities as major drivers of population movement. However, the findings also suggest that migration outcomes cannot be fully understood without considering institutional effectiveness, governance capacity, and economic resilience. The comparative evidence from the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Nigeria demonstrates that migration is neither inherently beneficial nor inherently detrimental. Its effects depend largely on the ability of host communities and governments to effectively manage population mobility and distribute its associated costs and benefits.

Ultimately, the study concludes that economic pressures associated with migration are best addressed not through restrictive migration policies alone but through broader strategies aimed at strengthening labour markets, improving infrastructure, expanding economic opportunities, and enhancing institutional capacity. Such an approach offers a more sustainable pathway for maximizing the developmental benefits of migration while minimizing potential socio-economic tensions within host communities.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Governments Should Strengthen Labour Market Absorptive Capacity

Governments should prioritize policies that stimulate employment creation, workforce development, and economic diversification. Expanding productive employment opportunities will reduce perceptions of labour market competition and enable host communities to accommodate migration more effectively. Labour market resilience remains one of the most important determinants of whether migration generates opportunities or economic pressures.

2. Migration Policy Should Be Integrated with Economic Planning

Migration management should not operate independently of broader economic and developmental policies. Governments should integrate migration considerations into national development plans, urban planning frameworks, infrastructure strategies, and labour market policies. Such integration would improve the ability of host communities to anticipate and respond to population movements.

3. Investment in Urban Infrastructure Should Be Increased

Particularly in developing countries such as Nigeria and South Africa, governments should increase investment in housing, transportation systems, healthcare facilities, education infrastructure, water supply, and sanitation services. Improved infrastructure will enhance the capacity of urban centres to absorb growing populations resulting from both internal and international migration.

4. Evidence-Based Migration Governance Should Be Encouraged

Public debates on migration are frequently influenced by perceptions that may not accurately reflect economic realities. Governments and policymakers should promote evidence-based migration governance through improved data collection, regular migration assessments, and public dissemination of credible information. This will contribute to more informed policy decisions and reduce misinformation surrounding migration issues.

5. Local Economic Development Programmes Should Be Expanded

Host communities experiencing significant migration inflows should benefit from targeted economic development initiatives. Support for small businesses, entrepreneurship, vocational training, and local investment programmes can reduce economic tensions while maximizing the productive contributions of migrants and host populations alike.

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

6. Regional Cooperation on Migration Management Should Be Strengthened

Migration is increasingly a transnational phenomenon that cannot be addressed effectively by individual states acting alone. Regional organizations and governments should strengthen cooperation on migration governance, labour mobility frameworks, information sharing, and development initiatives aimed at addressing the root causes of forced and irregular migration.

7. Internal Migration Management Should Receive Greater Policy Attention in Nigeria

Given the significance of internal migration within Nigeria, policymakers should develop comprehensive strategies for managing rural-urban and interstate migration. Such strategies should focus on balanced regional development, rural industrialization, infrastructure expansion, and improved economic opportunities across different regions to reduce excessive pressure on major urban centres.

8. Future Research Should Adopt More Disaggregated Approaches

Future studies should examine migration impacts at regional, city, and community levels to better understand localized economic pressures. Comparative analyses involving additional countries and longer time horizons would further enhance understanding of the complex relationship between migration and economic outcomes.

References

Borjas, G. J. (2014). *Immigration economics*. Harvard University Press.

Crush, J., & Ramachandran, S. (2017). *South-South migration and urban development in Southern Africa*. Southern African Migration Programme.

Dustmann, C., & Frattini, T. (2014). The fiscal effects of immigration to the UK. *The Economic Journal, 124*(580), F593–F643. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecoj.12181

Goodwin, M., & Milazzo, C. (2017). Taking back control? Investigating the role of immigration in the Brexit vote. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations, 19*(3), 450–464. https://doi.org/10.1177/1369148117710799

International Labour Organization. (2024). *World employment and social outlook: Trends 2024*. International Labour Organization.

Lee, E. S. (1966). A theory of migration. *Demography, 3*(1), 47–57. https://doi.org/10.2307/2060063

McAuliffe, M., & Oucho, L. A. (Eds.). (2024). *World migration report 2024*. International Organization for Migration.

McAuliffe, M., & Oucho, L. A. (Eds.). (2024). *World migration report 2024*. International Organization for Migration.

International Organization for Migration. (2024). *Migration and migrants: Regional dimensions and developments*. In *World Migration Report 2024*.

National Bureau of Statistics. (2024). *Nigeria labour force survey 2023 annual report*. National Bureau of Statistics.

Office for National Statistics. (2024). *Long-term international migration, provisional: Year ending December 2024*. Office for National Statistics.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2022). *International migration outlook 2022*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/30fe16d2-en

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *International migration outlook 2024*. OECD

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Publishing.

Statistics South Africa. (2024). *Quarterly labour force survey: Quarter 3, 2024*. Statistics South Africa.

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2024). *International migrant stock 2024*. United Nations.

World Bank. (2023). *Migration and development brief 39: Remittances remain resilient but growth has slowed*. World Bank.

World Bank. (2024). *World development indicators 2024*. World Bank.

World Bank. (2025). *World development report 2025: Migration, development and economic transformation*. World Bank.