UNIOFFA JOURNAL OF INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES (U-OJIS)
A Multidisciplinary Journal of Science, Management and Social Sciences
Website: www.unioffajis.com
ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Impact of International Observer Group Reports on Nigeria's External Image: The Perspective of the 2011–2023 Presidential Elections

AYODELE IBIKUNLE DAVID
Department of Politics and Governance, Kwara State University
ibikunledavidayodele@gmail.com

ABDULLAHI ALABI
Department of Politics and Governance, Kwara State University
Abdullahi.alabi@kwasu.edu.ng
MOHAMMED IDRIS DANJUMA
Department of Politics and Governance, Kwara State University
Mohammed.idris@kwasu.edu.ng

Volume 1:2

Abstract
International election observation has become an important component of contemporary electoral governance, particularly in states where the credibility of elections is closely associated with democratic legitimacy, political stability and international reputation. Nigeria, Africa's most populous state and one of the continent's most influential political and economic actors, has attracted extensive international attention during its presidential elections since the return to democratic rule in 1999. This article examines the impact of international observer group reports on Nigeria's external image, focusing on the 2011, 2015, 2019 and 2023 presidential elections. The study adopts a qualitative documentary research design and relies primarily on reports and statements produced by international election observation missions, particularly the European Union Election Observation Mission, the Commonwealth Observer Group and other reputable international organisations. The article argues that international observer reports have played a dual role in shaping Nigeria's external image. Positive assessments have strengthened perceptions of Nigeria as a country capable of conducting competitive democratic elections and facilitating peaceful political transitions, while negative assessments have reinforced international concerns regarding electoral violence, institutional weakness, lack of transparency, abuse of incumbency, insecurity and declining public confidence in electoral institutions. The 2015 presidential election represented an important positive moment in Nigeria's international democratic image because the peaceful transfer of presidential power was widely interpreted as evidence of democratic maturation. By contrast, the 2019 and 2023 elections generated renewed concerns about electoral administration, transparency and the credibility of the electoral process. The article concludes that observer reports do not independently determine Nigeria's external image. Rather, they constitute influential sources of international information that interact with diplomatic narratives, international media, domestic political behaviour and Nigeria's own foreign policy communication. Nigeria's external image would therefore benefit more from substantive electoral reform than from attempts to contest unfavourable observer reports.

Keywords: International election observers, external image, Nigeria, presidential elections, electoral credibility, diplomacy, democratic legitimacy

Introduction
The conduct of elections has become an important determinant of how states are perceived within the international system. Elections are no longer regarded exclusively as domestic political exercises. Their conduct, credibility and aftermath can influence diplomatic relations, international legitimacy, foreign investment perceptions, democratic partnerships and a country's broader reputation. International election observation has consequently developed into a significant mechanism through which external actors assess electoral processes and communicate their findings to domestic and international audiences.

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Nigeria provides an important case for examining this relationship. Since the restoration of democratic government in 1999, presidential elections have represented critical moments in the country's efforts to consolidate democratic governance. The 2011, 2015, 2019 and 2023 presidential elections attracted considerable attention from international observer organisations. These organisations assessed issues including the legal framework, electoral administration, political campaigns, election-day procedures, violence, media freedom, participation, transparency, vote counting and the management of electoral disputes.

The importance of these reports extends beyond their technical assessment of elections. International observer reports become part of the information through which foreign governments, international organisations, investors, development partners, journalists and transnational civil society organisations understand political developments in Nigeria. Consequently, an observer report describing an election as competitive, peaceful and credible can contribute to a favourable image of the country. Conversely, a report highlighting electoral violence, institutional weaknesses, intimidation, poor transparency or manipulation can reinforce negative perceptions.

The European Union's observation of the 2011 election, for example, occurred against the background of Nigeria's previous history of problematic elections. The EU observed the presidential election of 16 April 2011 and deployed observers throughout the country. Although the mission recognised improvements, it also drew attention to election-related violence and weaknesses in the electoral process (European Union Election Observation Mission [EU EOM], 2011). (European External Action Service)

The 2015 election represented a particularly important moment. The election produced a peaceful transfer of presidential power from an incumbent president to an opposition candidate. This was significant because peaceful alternation in political power is widely considered an important indicator of democratic consolidation. The EU observation mission nevertheless identified weaknesses in electoral administration, voter registration, campaign conduct, electoral offences and other areas (EU EOM, 2015). (ecoi.net)

The 2019 election produced a more critical international assessment. The EU EOM concluded that the elections were competitive but were characterised by serious operational and transparency shortcomings, electoral security problems and low turnout. It also identified concerns regarding violence, intimidation, abuse of incumbency and weaknesses in the results process (EU EOM, 2019). (European External Action Service)

The 2023 election generated perhaps the most intense debate concerning Nigeria's electoral image during the period under review. Although the election provided voters with a competitive choice and introduced technological innovations such as the Bimodal Voter Accreditation System and the INEC Result Viewing Portal, international observers identified serious operational and transparency problems. The EU EOM concluded that shortcomings in law and electoral administration damaged trust in the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) and recommended substantial reforms (EU EOM, 2023). (European External Action Service)

This article therefore examines how international observer group reports have affected the external image of Nigeria between 2011 and 2023. It specifically asks: What were the major assessments contained in international observer reports on Nigeria's presidential elections between 2011 and 2023? How did these assessments influence perceptions of Nigeria's democratic credentials? And to what extent did positive and negative observer reports contribute to Nigeria's changing external image?

The central argument is that international observer reports function as instruments of reputational evaluation. They do not create Nigeria's external image independently, but they provide authoritative evidence through which existing perceptions of Nigeria's democratic performance are strengthened, challenged or revised.

Conceptualising External Image and International Election Observation

External image refers to the way a country is perceived by audiences outside its territorial

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

boundaries. It encompasses perceptions held by foreign governments, international organisations, investors, media organisations, civil society organisations and foreign publics concerning the political, economic, social and institutional characteristics of a state. In international relations, state image is closely connected to reputation and soft power because perceptions can influence a state's ability to attract cooperation and exercise influence (Nye, 2004).

A state's international image is not necessarily identical to its actual domestic conditions. Rather, it is constructed through information, communication, political behaviour and external evaluations. Foreign policy statements, diplomatic engagements, international media coverage, rankings, reports of international organisations and the conduct of domestic political institutions all contribute to this construction.

Election observation is particularly important because elections constitute visible demonstrations of political legitimacy. International observers examine whether electoral processes comply with domestic laws and relevant international standards. Their assessments can therefore become external benchmarks against which the democratic performance of a country is judged.

The European Union explains that its election observation missions are designed to assess electoral processes independently and do not interfere with elections or validate electoral results. Their methodology covers the legal framework, electoral administration, political campaigns, media, voter participation, technology, voting, counting, tabulation and complaints procedures (European External Action Service [EEAS], 2023). (European External Action Service)

This distinction is important. An observer report does not constitute an alternative declaration of election results. Instead, it evaluates the quality of the electoral process. Consequently, when observers identify shortcomings, their reports can influence how the process is interpreted internationally without necessarily determining whether a candidate legally won the election.

Theoretical Perspective: Soft Power and International Reputation

This article is anchored primarily in the concept of soft power developed by Nye (2004). Soft power refers to the capacity of a state to influence others through attraction rather than coercion or payment. Although the concept was originally developed to explain broader international influence, it is relevant to understanding how democratic reputation contributes to a state's international attractiveness.

Democratic institutions constitute one component of national attraction. A state that conducts credible elections, respects political competition, protects civil liberties and permits peaceful transfers of power can develop a more favourable international reputation. Conversely, repeated electoral crises can weaken the credibility of democratic claims.

From this perspective, international observer reports constitute important reputational signals. A positive report can reinforce a favourable image, whereas a critical report can damage it. The reputational effect becomes stronger where the observer organisation possesses considerable international legitimacy and its findings are widely circulated.

However, the relationship is not automatic. Governments can reject observer findings, domestic actors can interpret reports differently, and international audiences may already possess established perceptions of a country. Nigeria's response to the 2023 EU report illustrates this tension. The Nigerian Presidency rejected the EU's conclusions, arguing that the report was flawed and that the election had been fairly won by the All Progressives Congress candidate, Bola Ahmed Tinubu. (State House Abuja)

Thus, observer reports participate in a broader struggle over the meaning and legitimacy of elections.

Methodology

The study adopts a qualitative documentary research design. The analysis is based on documentary evidence relating to Nigeria's 2011, 2015, 2019 and 2023 presidential elections. Primary documentary materials include reports and official statements of

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

international election observation missions, particularly the European Union Election Observation Mission. Supplementary materials include reports from other international organisations, scholarly literature and credible media reports.

The choice of 2011–2023 is significant because the period encompasses four presidential elections that demonstrate important changes in Nigeria's democratic trajectory. The period includes the 2015 peaceful transfer of power, the increasingly technology-oriented 2019 and 2023 electoral processes, and changing international assessments of Nigeria's electoral institutions.

Data were analysed thematically. Particular attention was given to five indicators: electoral credibility, electoral violence and security, transparency, institutional performance and democratic legitimacy. These indicators were then examined in relation to Nigeria's external image.

The study does not claim that observer reports alone determine Nigeria's international reputation. Rather, it examines their contribution to the construction and reproduction of international perceptions.

International Observer Assessments of the 2011 Presidential Election

The 2011 presidential election was a significant event in Nigeria's post-1999 democratic development. The election occurred in a context where previous electoral cycles had generated considerable domestic and international criticism. The European Union established an election observation mission at the invitation of the Nigerian government and observed the presidential, legislative, state and gubernatorial elections. The presidential election was held on 16 April 2011. (European External Action Service)

The election represented an improvement in several respects. The deployment of observers throughout the country enhanced international scrutiny and provided independent documentation of electoral developments. Nevertheless, the EU mission reported serious violence during the campaign period and noted that election-related violence remained a concern. (European External Action Service)

The international assessment therefore produced a mixed image. Nigeria could demonstrate an ability to organise a competitive national election and accommodate international observers, but problems relating to violence and electoral administration remained visible.

The significance of the 2011 reports for Nigeria's external image lies particularly in this duality. On the one hand, the election demonstrated democratic progress when compared with the deeply problematic 2007 elections. On the other hand, continuing electoral violence indicated that Nigeria's democratic institutions remained fragile.

The reports therefore contributed to a cautious international perception of Nigeria. Nigeria was increasingly viewed as a functioning electoral democracy, but not yet as a fully consolidated democracy. This distinction became important for subsequent international assessments.

The 2015 Presidential Election and the Improvement of Nigeria's Democratic Image

The 2015 presidential election represented a major turning point in Nigeria's international democratic image. The election was contested principally by incumbent President Goodluck Jonathan of the People's Democratic Party and Muhammadu Buhari of the All Progressives Congress. Buhari won the election, and Jonathan conceded defeat.

The significance of the election was not limited to the numerical outcome. The peaceful acceptance of electoral defeat by the incumbent president represented a major demonstration of democratic maturity. It reinforced the principle that political power could change hands through elections rather than through unconstitutional means.

International observation contributed to documenting both the achievements and limitations of the process. The EU EOM identified several weaknesses, including shortcomings in electoral administration and the prosecution of electoral offences. At the same time, citizen observation networks contributed to transparency and electoral debate (EU EOM, 2015). (ecoi.net)

The 2015 election therefore generated a more

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

favourable external narrative than previous elections. Nigeria demonstrated that political competition could result in peaceful alternation in presidential power. This development was particularly important for a country whose international image had often been affected by concerns about democratic instability, electoral violence and institutional weakness.

The reputational significance of peaceful transfer of power cannot be underestimated. Democratic legitimacy depends not merely on voting but also on the willingness of political elites to accept legitimate electoral outcomes. The 2015 transition provided evidence that Nigeria's political institutions and political class could manage a competitive presidential contest without the collapse of constitutional order.

The election consequently strengthened Nigeria's image as a democratic leader in Africa. It also enhanced the credibility of Nigeria's broader foreign policy narrative because Nigeria frequently presents itself as a supporter of democratic governance and peace across Africa.

Nevertheless, the international observer reports also demonstrated that Nigeria's democratic progress was incomplete. The persistence of electoral malpractice, weak prosecution of electoral offences and other institutional problems meant that the positive image generated by the peaceful transfer of power was accompanied by continuing concerns about electoral quality.

Thus, the 2015 election illustrates an important principle: an election can improve a state's external image even when observers identify substantial weaknesses, provided that the broader political outcome demonstrates institutional resilience and peaceful political competition.

The 2019 Presidential Election and the Return of International Concern

The 2019 presidential election marked a partial reversal of the positive reputational momentum generated in 2015. Muhammadu Buhari was re-elected, defeating Atiku Abubakar of the People's Democratic Party. Although the election was competitive, international observers raised significant concerns about the conduct of the process.

The EU EOM concluded that the 2019 elections were characterised by "severe operational and transparency shortcomings, electoral security problems and low turnout." It nevertheless acknowledged that the elections were competitive and that parties were able to campaign. Civil society organisations also contributed to electoral accountability (EU EOM, 2019). (European External Action Service)

These findings had direct implications for Nigeria's external democratic image. First, operational weaknesses raised questions concerning the capacity of INEC to administer complex national elections. Second, security problems created concerns regarding the protection of voters and candidates. Third, problems surrounding transparency weakened confidence in the credibility of the electoral process.

The EU also reported that leading political parties failed to sufficiently control violence and intimidation by supporters. It identified abuse of incumbency at federal and state levels and noted that state media primarily served incumbent political interests. Journalists were also subjected to harassment, while some independent observers experienced obstruction (EU EOM, 2019). (European External Action Service)

These findings contributed to a more complicated external image of Nigeria. The country remained a functioning democracy capable of conducting competitive elections, but its democratic institutions were increasingly viewed through the lens of persistent structural weaknesses.

The postponement of the election further affected perceptions of administrative competence. The EU noted that operational deficiencies contributed to the postponement and that communication and transparency concerning the results process remained inadequate. (European External Action Service)

From a reputational perspective, this was significant because electoral credibility depends partly on predictability and institutional competence. When an election is postponed shortly before voting, uncertainty can reinforce perceptions of institutional weakness.

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

The 2019 observer reports therefore diminished some of the reputational gains associated with 2015. Nigeria remained democratic, but the international narrative increasingly shifted from democratic transition to democratic quality.

The 2023 Presidential Election and Nigeria's External Democratic Image

The 2023 presidential election constituted perhaps the most important case for analysing the contemporary relationship between international observation and Nigeria's external image. The election involved a highly competitive contest among Bola Ahmed Tinubu of the All Progressives Congress, Atiku Abubakar of the People's Democratic Party and Peter Obi of the Labour Party.

The election attracted extensive international attention. The EU EOM deployed 110 observers from EU member states, Canada and Norway. Its methodology covered electoral administration, political campaigns, media, social media, election technology, voting, counting and results management. (European External Action Service)

One of the most important features of the 2023 election was the deployment of new electoral technologies. BVAS was introduced to strengthen voter accreditation, while the IReV platform was designed to facilitate public viewing of election results. These innovations initially generated expectations that Nigeria was moving toward greater electoral transparency.

However, the EU preliminary assessment found that the technology did not perform as expected. The uploading of results through BVAS did not work as anticipated, while presidential result forms appeared on the IReV portal later than expected. These problems generated concerns about transparency and electoral credibility. (European External Action Service)

The international assessment was particularly damaging to Nigeria's democratic image because expectations had been high. Technological innovation had been presented as a mechanism for strengthening electoral integrity. When technological systems experienced serious problems, the resulting credibility gap was greater than it might have been under a conventional electoral system.

The EU later concluded that shortcomings in electoral law and administration damaged public trust in INEC. It offered 23 recommendations aimed at improving the electoral process. Its priority recommendations included greater transparency in the appointment of INEC members, improved access to election results, stronger protection for journalists, greater attention to women's political participation and action against impunity for electoral offences. (European External Action Service)

The report therefore presented Nigeria as a democracy with significant institutional potential but persistent structural weaknesses.

Importantly, the EU did not simply describe Nigeria's election negatively. It acknowledged the commitment of Nigerian citizens to democratic participation, the competitiveness of the election and the contribution of civil society. The final assessment was therefore more nuanced than a simple declaration that the election was fraudulent or illegitimate.

Nevertheless, the international visibility of the negative findings affected Nigeria's external image. International media organisations, foreign governments, civil society groups and political actors used observer findings in debates concerning the credibility of the election. The controversy surrounding the report also became part of Nigeria's international political narrative.

The Nigerian government rejected the EU's conclusions, arguing that the report was inadequate and sought to discredit the electoral system. (State House Abuja) This response demonstrates that observer reports can become contested diplomatic documents. They are not simply technical reports but may become politically consequential representations of the state.

Comparative Analysis: 2011–2023

The four presidential elections reveal an important pattern.

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

Election	Major international assessment	Implication for external image
2011	Improvements but continuing violence and institutional weaknesses	Cautiously improving democratic image
2015	Competitive election and peaceful transfer of power, despite institutional shortcomings	Significant improvement in democratic reputation
2019	Competitive election but severe operational, transparency and security problems	Renewed concerns about democratic quality
2023	Competitive contest but serious transparency, technological and institutional failures	Intensified scrutiny of Nigeria's democratic credibility

The pattern demonstrates that Nigeria's external image has not followed a linear trajectory. Rather, it has fluctuated according to the quality of elections and the ability of political institutions to manage electoral competition.

The 2015 election remains the strongest positive case. The peaceful transfer of power provided an observable demonstration of democratic consolidation. The 2019 and 2023 elections, however, showed that peaceful alternation alone does not permanently secure a positive democratic reputation. Electoral credibility must be reproduced in every election cycle.

The reports also reveal the increasing sophistication of international observation. Earlier assessments focused heavily on voting procedures, violence and electoral administration. By 2023, international observation included election technology, social media, gender representation, campaign finance, institutional independence, results transmission and public communication. The scope of external evaluation therefore expanded alongside the complexity of Nigeria's electoral system.

How Observer Reports Shape Nigeria's External Image

International observer reports affect Nigeria's external image through at least four mechanisms.

Information Production

Observer missions generate independent information about electoral conditions. International audiences that cannot directly observe Nigerian elections often rely on these reports to understand what occurred. Because organisations such as the EU deploy professional observers and publish detailed reports, their assessments carry significant informational value.

Reputation Formation

Repeated reports contribute to cumulative reputational judgments. A single negative observation may have limited impact, but recurring reports identifying similar problems can reinforce perceptions of structural weakness.

The repeated concerns about transparency, electoral violence, institutional independence and administrative competence between 2011 and 2023 illustrate this process. Although Nigeria made important democratic advances, the recurrence of similar problems prevented the consolidation of an unambiguously positive electoral reputation.

Diplomatic Communication

Observer reports also become resources in

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

international diplomatic discourse. Governments, international organisations and civil society groups can cite observer findings when discussing democratic governance in Nigeria. Consequently, election observation can indirectly influence bilateral and multilateral engagement.

The effect is particularly important for Nigeria because of its regional importance. Nigeria is frequently expected to exercise leadership in West Africa and the wider African political system. Its domestic democratic performance therefore has implications beyond its borders.

Media Amplification

Observer reports are often translated into media narratives. Technical findings about electoral administration can become simplified narratives about whether an election was credible or flawed. This amplification can strengthen either positive or negative perceptions.

The 2023 election illustrates this particularly well. The EU's concerns about operational failures and transparency became part of wider international discussions concerning the legitimacy of the electoral process.

Observer Reports and the Problem of External Validation

A critical issue, however, is whether Nigeria should depend on external observers for democratic legitimacy. The answer should be no.

International observers can provide useful independent assessments, but democratic legitimacy ultimately derives from the Nigerian constitutional and electoral system and, most importantly, from Nigerian citizens. International observation should complement domestic accountability rather than replace it.

There is also a potential problem of selective interpretation. Political actors may selectively use observer findings to support their preferred narratives. Government actors may reject negative findings, while opposition parties may highlight them. Consequently, observer reports can become politically contested.

The 2023 experience demonstrates this clearly. While the EU identified significant weaknesses, the Nigerian Presidency rejected its conclusions. (State House Abuja) The disagreement demonstrates that the international image of Nigeria is not passively determined by external reports. It is actively negotiated among domestic and international actors.

Nevertheless, dismissing observer reports entirely would be counterproductive. International observation provides an external perspective that can expose weaknesses domestic institutions may be unwilling or unable to acknowledge. The most productive approach is therefore to treat observer reports as instruments of institutional learning.

Implications for Nigeria's Foreign Policy

Nigeria's external image has direct implications for its foreign policy. Nigeria has historically positioned itself as an important actor in African peace and security, regional integration and democratic development. Its ability to play these roles credibly is strengthened when its own democratic institutions are regarded positively.

The credibility of Nigeria's democratic leadership therefore depends partly on consistency between its external advocacy and domestic political practice.

If Nigeria advocates democracy abroad while international observers repeatedly identify electoral weaknesses at home, a credibility gap can emerge. Conversely, credible domestic elections can strengthen Nigeria's diplomatic authority.

This is particularly important in the context of Nigeria's leadership role within West Africa. The country's democratic experience is frequently considered in relation to broader regional concerns about constitutional order, military intervention and democratic backsliding.

Consequently, electoral reform should be understood not merely as a domestic governance issue but also as a foreign policy asset.

Conclusion

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

International observer group reports have played a significant role in shaping Nigeria's external image between 2011 and 2023. They have provided independent assessments through which international audiences evaluate the quality of Nigeria's electoral democracy.

The evidence demonstrates a fluctuating relationship. The 2011 election produced a cautiously improving image because Nigeria demonstrated electoral progress while continuing to experience serious challenges. The 2015 presidential election produced a substantial reputational gain because the peaceful transfer of power demonstrated that Nigeria's political system could manage competitive presidential succession constitutionally. The 2019 election generated renewed international concerns because of operational weaknesses, electoral violence, transparency problems and low participation. The 2023 election further intensified international scrutiny because technological failures, weaknesses in results transparency and institutional shortcomings undermined public confidence in the electoral process.

The central conclusion is that international observer reports do not independently create Nigeria's external image. Rather, they function as important reputational instruments that document and amplify the strengths and weaknesses already present within Nigeria's political system. Their influence is strongest when their findings are consistent across multiple observation cycles and when they are amplified by international media, diplomatic institutions and transnational civil society.

Nigeria should therefore move beyond the politics of accepting or rejecting observer reports. The more strategic response is to address the institutional weaknesses repeatedly identified by credible observers. The recommendations contained in international reports should be treated as opportunities for electoral reform rather than threats to national sovereignty.

The experience of 2011–2023 demonstrates that Nigeria's international democratic reputation is ultimately determined less by the rhetoric surrounding elections than by the quality of the institutions that organise them. Credible elections, transparent results management, independent electoral institutions, protection of political rights, effective prosecution of electoral offences and peaceful political competition would provide Nigeria with a stronger democratic image than any diplomatic campaign designed to counter negative reports.

In this sense, the strongest strategy for improving Nigeria's external image is not image management but institutional performance. A credible electoral system produces credible elections; credible elections produce democratic legitimacy; and sustained democratic legitimacy strengthens the international reputation and soft power of the Nigerian state.

References

European External Action Service. (2011). *EU election observation mission to Nigeria in 2011*. European Union. (European External Action Service)

European External Action Service. (2023a). *European Union Election Observation Mission Nigeria 2023: About the mission*. European Union. (European External Action Service)

European External Action Service. (2023b). *First preliminary statement: Elections held on schedule, but lack of transparency and operational failures reduced trust in the process and challenged the right to vote*. European Union Election Observation Mission Nigeria. (European External Action Service)

European External Action Service. (2023c). *The European Union Election Observation Mission presented its final report with 23 recommendations; the EU stands ready to support Nigeria in their implementation*. European Union. (European External Action Service)

European Union Election Observation Mission. (2015). *Final report: General elections 2015*. European Union. (ecoi.net)

European Union Election Observation Mission. (2019). *Final report: General elections 2019*. European Union. (European External Action Service)

ISSN:3141-5571
E-ISSN:3141-558X

European Union Election Observation Mission. (2023). *Final report: Federal and state elections, 25 February and 18 March 2023*. European Union. (European External Action Service)

Nye, J. S. (2004). *Soft power: The means to success in world politics*. PublicAffairs.

The Carter Center. (2014). *Election obligations and standards: A Carter Center assessment manual*. The Carter Center. (Cartercentee)

The Commonwealth Secretariat. (2011). *Nigeria National Assembly and presidential elections 2011: Interim statement*. Commonwealth Observer Group. (The Commonwealth iLibrary)

United Nations. (2005). *Declaration of principles for international election observation and code of conduct for international election observers*. United Nations.